# Children, Education & Communities 2017/2018

No of Indicators = 47 | Direction of Travel (DoT) shows the trend of how an indicator is performing against its Polarity over time.

Produced by the Strategic Business Intelligence Hub January 2018

| | | | Collection Frequency | Previous Years | | | 2017/2018 | | | | Target | Polarity |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | 2014/2015 | 2015/2016 | 2016/2017 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | | |
| Children's Social Care - Children Looked After | 148 | % of care leavers in employment, education or training aged 17-21 (19-21 until 2016/2017) | Monthly | 57.50% | 68.00% | 75.64% | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good |
| | | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 48.00% | 49.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 53.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | Benchmark - Comparator Data | Annual | 49.20% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | EFL1 | Children Looked After per 10k - (Snapshot) | Monthly | 53 | 53 | 55 | 54 | 52 | - | - | - | Neutral |
| | | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 60 | 60 | 62 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 64 | 63 | 67 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | Benchmark - Comparator Data | Annual | 53 | 54 | 57 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | Number of Children Looked After - (Snapshot) | Monthly | 193 | 191 | 204 | 200 | 191 | - | - | - | Neutral |
| Children's Social Care - Safeguarding | 64 | % of children ceasing to be the subject of a Child Protection Plan who had been the subject of a CPP continuously for two years or longer - (YTD) | Monthly | 9.50% | 2.80% | 2.73% | 6.00% | 7.00% | - | - | - | Up is Bad |
| | | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 3.70% | 3.80% | 3.40% | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | - | - | 2.00% | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | 65 | % of children becoming the subject of a Child Protection Plan for a second or subsequent time - (YTD) | Monthly | 12.20% | 25.70% | 28.38% | 41.00% | 36.00% | - | - | - | Up is Bad |
| | | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 16.60% | 17.90% | 18.70% | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | EFL2 | Children with a Child Protection Plan per 10k - (Snapshot) | Monthly | 34 | 37 | 46 | 41 | 37 | - | - | - | Neutral |
| | | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 42.9 | 54.2 | 43.3 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 41.8 | 52.1 | 43 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | Number of Children with a Child Protection Plan - (Snapshot) | Monthly | 124 | 135 | 171 | 151 | 138 | - | - | - | Neutral |
| Crime | CSP01 | All Crime (IQUANTA data) | Monthly | 10,807 | 12,015 | 11,221 | 3,004 | 3,111 | - | - | - | Up is Bad |
| | CSP15 | Overall Violence (Violence Against Person Def.) | Monthly | 2,130 | 2,513 | 2,509 | 716 | 916 | - | - | - | Up is Bad |
| | | IQUANTA Family Grouping (Rank out of 15) | Monthly | 6 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | |
| Crim Anti S Beha | CSP13 | NYP Recorded ASB Calls for Service | Monthly | 9,306 | 8,997 | 8,860 | 2,178 | 2,242 | - | - | - | Up is Bad |

| | Code | Description | Freq | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Crime - Social Behaviour | CSP28 | Number of Incidents of ASB within the city centre ARZ | Monthly | 2,576 | 2,305 | 2,175 | 487 | 539 | - | - | | - | Up is Bad |
| Crime - Hate Crime | CSP23 | Hate Crimes or Incidents as Recorded by NYP | Monthly | 108 | 141 | 189 | 69 | 64 | - | - | | - | Up is Bad |
| | | IQUANTA Family Grouping (Rank out of 15) | Monthly | 3 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | - | - | | - | |
| Crime - Key Places | CSP29a | Number of Incidents of ASB within the CIZ | Monthly | 1,808 | 1,518 | 1,399 | 276 | 356 | - | - | | - | Up is Bad |
| | CSP29b | Number of Incidents of Alcohol Related ASB within the CIZ | Monthly | - | - | 594 | 131 | 130 | - | - | | - | Up is Bad |
| Deprivation and Poverty | CJGE13A | Percentage of Children (aged 0- 15) living in Out-of-work Benefit Claimant Households | Annual | 9.38% | 8.74% | 8.38% | - | - | - | - | | - | Up is Bad |
| | | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 16.22% | 14.74% | 14.04% | - | - | - | - | | - | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 18.98% | 17.46% | 16.80% | - | - | - | - | | - | |
| Education (Adult) | CJGE17 | % of working age population qualified - No qualifications | Annual | 4.90% | 4.60% | 6.20% | - | - | - | - | | - | Up is Bad |
| | CJGE18 | % of working age population qualified - to at least L2 and above* | Annual | 82.50% | 81.10% | 80.20% | - | - | - | - | | - | Up is Good |
| | | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 73.30% | 73.60% | 74.30% | - | - | - | - | | - | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 70.00% | 70.10% | 71.00% | - | - | - | - | | - | |
| | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | | - | |
| | CJGE19 | % of working age population qualified - to at least L3 and above* | Annual | 66.80% | 65.80% | 65.20% | - | - | - | - | | - | Up is Good |
| | | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 55.00% | 55.80% | 56.90% | - | - | - | - | | - | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 50.00% | 51.30% | 52.30% | - | - | - | - | | - | |
| | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | | - | |
| | CJGE20 | % of working age population qualified - to at least L4 and above* | Annual | 40.30% | 40.60% | 42.70% | - | - | - | - | | - | Up is Good |
| | | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 36.00% | 37.10% | 38.20% | - | - | - | - | | - | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 29.80% | 30.60% | 31.30% | - | - | - | - | | - | |
| | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | | - | |
| Education (Young People) | ES8 | % of After School Clubs achieving 'good' or 'outstanding' - (Snapshot) | Annual | 84.00% | 83.00% | - | - | - | - | - | | - | Up is Good |
| | ES9 | % Take up of early education places by eligible two year olds - (Snapshot) | Annual | 70.00% (350) | 72.00% (392) | 73.50% (382) | - | - | - | - | | - | Up is Good |
| Educational Attainment | 81 | %pt gap between FSM and non-FSM pupils at 15, who attain a Level 3 qualification by the age of 19 - (Snapshot) | Annual | 41.70% | 32.00% | (Avail Apr 2018) | - | - | - | - | | - | Up is Bad |
| | | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 24.60% | 24.50% | (Avail Apr 2018) | - | - | - | - | | - | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 27.30% | 27.60% | (Avail Apr 2018) | - | - | - | - | | - | |
| | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 15 | 13 | (Avail Apr 2018) | - | - | - | - | | - | |
| | 82 | %pt gap between FSM and non-FSM pupils at 15, who attain a Level 2 qualification by the age of 19 - (Snapshot) | Annual | 19.20% | 14.20% | (Avail Apr 2018) | - | - | - | - | | - | Up is Bad |
| | | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 16.50% | 17.50% | (Avail Apr 2018) | - | - | - | - | | - | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 19.80% | 20.60% | (Avail Apr 2018) | - | - | - | - | | - | |

| Category | Code | Description | Frequency | | | | | | | | | Direction |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 7 | 3 | (Avail Apr 2018) | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | KS4a | Average Progress 8 score from KS2 to KS4 | Annual | - | 0.04 | 0.11 | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good |
| | | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | - | -0.03 | -0.03 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Homelessness | HOU102 | Number of homeless households with dependent children in temporary accommodation - (Snapshot) | Quarterly | 41 | 30 | 33 | 34 | 36 | - | - | - | Up is Bad |
| | | Number of children in temporary accommodation (snapshot) | Quarterly | 69 | 46 | 58 | 64 | 62 | - | - | - | Up is Bad |
| Libraries | LIB01 | Library Visits - All Libraries | Monthly | 799,083 | 997,606 | 1,025,480 | 255,626 | 292,534 | - | - | - | Up is Good |
| | LIB02 | Books Borrowed - All Libraries | Monthly | 778,615 | 819,179 | 800,300 | 192,356 | 214,540 | - | - | - | Up is Good |
| NEET | 117c | % of Year 12-13 (academic age 16-17) young people who are not in education, employment or training (NEET) - (Snapshot) | Monthly | - | - | 3.30% | 3.20% | 1.90% | - | - | - | Up is Bad |
| | | Benchmark - National Data | Quarterly | - | - | 3.00% | 3.10% | 1.90% | - | - | - | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Quarterly | - | - | 3.40% | 3.60% | 2.10% | - | - | - | |
| | | Benchmark - Comparator Data | Quarterly | - | - | 2.40% | 2.60% | 1.60% | - | - | - | |
| | NEET02c | % of Year 12-13 (academic age 16-17) NEET who possess less than a L2 qualification (New definition Jan 2017) - (Snapshot) | Monthly | - | - | 85.00% | 84.20% | 89.20% | - | - | - | Up is Bad |
| Obesity | NCMP01 | % of reception year children recorded as being obese (single year) | Annual | 7.03% | 8.59% | 8.52% | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad |
| | | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 9.08% | 9.31% | 9.61% | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 8.83% | 9.42% | 9.72% | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 1 | 2 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | NCMP02 | % of children in Year 6 recorded as being obese (single year) | Annual | 14.97% | 15.14% | 16.13% | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad |
| | | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 19.08% | 19.82% | 19.98% | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 19.19% | 20.29% | 20.42% | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Physical Activity | PHOF01 | % of physically active and inactive adults - active adults | Annual | 62.18% | 69.83% | 70.24% | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good |
| | | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 57.04% | 57.05% | 60.58% | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 56.08% | 56.35% | 59.45% | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Projects - Large | CORP10L | Large Project - Provision of School Places | Quarterly | - | - | - | NC | Green | - | - | - | Neutral |
| | | Large Project - Specialist Disabled Children Short Break Facility | Quarterly | - | - | - | NC | Green | - | - | - | Neutral |
| Public Health and Wellbeing | CHP30 | Hospital admissions for asthma (0-18 years), per 100,000 population | Annual | 124.94 | 101.62 | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad |
| | | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 216.12 | 202.39 | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 209.44 | 161.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | % of births registered within 42 days | Monthly | 98.00% | 98.00% | 98.00% | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good |

| Category | Code | Measure | Frequency | | | | | | | | | | Polarity |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Public Protection | PP08 | Benchmark - National Data | Monthly | - | 97.00% | 96.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Monthly | - | 98.00% | 98.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | PP09 | % of still births registered within 42 days | Monthly | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good |
| | | Benchmark - National Data | Monthly | - | 99.00% | 99.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Monthly | - | 99.00% | 99.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | PP10 | % of deaths registered within 5 days | Monthly | 93.00% | 90.00% | 85.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good |
| | | Benchmark - National Data | Monthly | - | 76.00% | 78.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Monthly | - | 85.00% | 84.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Resident and Corporate Surveys | TAP11 | % of panel who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area | Quarterly | NC | NC | 25.65% | 28.41% | NC | - | - | - | - | Up is Good |
| | | Benchmark - Community Life Survey | Annual | 35.00% | 36.00% | 26.53% | - | NC | - | - | - | - | |
| | TAP13 | % of panel who give unpaid help to any group, club or organisation | Quarterly | NC | NC | 64.30% | 66.44% | NC | - | - | - | - | Up is Good |
| | | Benchmark - Community Life Survey | Annual | 69.00% | 70.00% | 62.68% | - | NC | - | - | - | - | |
| Safeguarding (Young...) | PHOF06 | Under 18 conceptions (per 1,000 females aged 15-17) (Calendar Year) | Quarterly | 15.71 | 20.41 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad |
| | | Benchmark - National Data | Quarterly | 22.8 | 20.78 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| School Strategy and Planning | PriFSM | % of children who are eligible for a free school meal in the primary sector (excluding Danesgate) | Annual | 8.40% | 8.60% | 7.80% | - | - | - | - | - | - | Neutral |
| | | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 15.60% | 14.50% | 14.10% | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 16.60% | 15.70% | 15.90% | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | % of eligible children taking a free school meal in the primary sector (excluding Danesgate) - (Snapshot) | Annual | 83.70% | 78.30% | 77.27% | - | - | - | - | - | - | Neutral |
| | SecFSM | % of children who are eligible for a free school meal in the secondary sector (excluding Danesgate) | Annual | 6.70% | 6.30% | 6.20% | - | - | - | - | - | - | Neutral |
| | | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 13.90% | 13.20% | 12.90% | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 15.00% | 14.50% | 14.70% | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | % of eligible children taking a free school meal in the secondary sector (excluding Danesgate) - (Snapshot) | Annual | 78.00% | 78.20% | 78.04% | - | - | - | - | - | - | Neutral |
| Tourism | TOU01 | Room Occupancy | Monthly | 74.76% | 66.50% | 79.24% | 82.60% | 87.27% | - | - | - | - | Up is Good |
| | TOU04 | Average Room Rate | Monthly | £69.66 | £74.18 | £95.09 | £102.5 | £112.26 | - | - | - | - | Neutral |
| | TOU08 | Visits to Attractions: Big Attractions | Monthly | 2,866,401 | 2,597,009 | 2,376,573 | 674,377 | 822,293 | - | - | - | - | Up is Good |
| | TOU09 | Visits to Attractions: Small Attractions | Monthly | 276,399 | 247,538 | 232,501 | 87,844 | 93,238 | - | - | - | - | Up is Good |
| | TOU14 | Parliament Street Footfall | Monthly | 9,616,941 | 8,356,697 | 8,044,607 | 2,058,005 | 2,226,500 | - | - | - | - | Up is Good |
| | TOU15 | Visitor Information Centre Footfall | Monthly | 488,643 | 431,346 | 401,206 | 102,556 | 125,201 | - | - | - | - | Up is Good |
| Youth | | % of young people ending their YOT supervised order who are NEET (New definition from 2013/14 - cumulative) - (YTD) | Discontinued | 19.50% | 26.20% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad |

| Offending | 45 | % of 10-16 year olds ending their YOT supervised order who are NEET - (YTD) | Discontinued | 0.00% | 0.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad |
| | | % of 16+ year olds ending their YOT supervised order who are NEET - (YTD) | Discontinued | 26.80% | 39.30% | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad |